

1 DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439  
2 [dbergeson@be-law.com](mailto:dbergeson@be-law.com)  
3 JOHN W. FOWLER, Bar No. 037463  
4 [jfowler@be-law.com](mailto:jfowler@be-law.com)  
5 MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373  
6 [mmorton@be-law.com](mailto:mmorton@be-law.com)  
7 BERGESON, LLP  
8 303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500  
9 San Jose, CA 95110-2712  
10 Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
11 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
13 VERIGY US, INC.

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
16 SAN JOSE DIVISION

17 VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;  
21 WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON  
22 TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;  
23 and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a  
24 California Limited Liability Corporation,  
25 inclusive,

26 Defendants.

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

Judge: Honorable Howard R. Lloyd  
Ctrm: 2

1 Now before the Court is Verigy's Administrative Motion For Leave To File Documents  
2 Under Seal. Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under  
3 Seal and the supporting declaration of Melinda Morton filed therewith, the Court finds there to be  
4 good cause for granting Plaintiff's request to file documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

- 6 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the  
7 right of public access to the record in the following documents:  
8 a. Portions of Verigy's Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Amended  
9 Response and Responsive Information to Request for Production No. 17  
10 from Romi Mayder and Silicon Test Systems, Inc.; Supporting  
11 Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support (the "MPA"); and  
12 b. Exhibits D, E, F, G, H, J, K, and L to the Declaration of Melinda M.  
13 Morton.  
14 (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;  
15 (3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality  
16 interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;  
17 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and  
18 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

19  
20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Verigy's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under  
21 Seal is GRANTED.

22  
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2007

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 Honorable Howard R. Lloyd  
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
28